
Sexual Misconduct Investigations – Procedural Elements

Krista Anderson, Systemwide Title IX Coordinator Sean Flammer, Assistant General Counsel Spring 2023



1

Agenda 1. Title IX Intro 2. Initial Steps 3. Resolution Options 4. Ongoing Elements 5. Closing Steps 6. Transition to Adjudication Stage 7. Documentation & Record Keeping



Sexual Harassment

Severe Pervasive Obj. Offensive

Sexual Assault Dating Violence Domestic Violence Stalking

Vs.

Non-Sexual Harassment
Ex. Other Inappropriate Sexual Conduct

Definition of "Sexual Harassment" under Title IX

Conduct on the basis of sex that satisfies one or more of the following:

- An <u>employee</u> of the institution conditioning the provision of an aid, benefit, or service of the institution on an individual's participation in unwelcome sexual conduct (Quid Pro Quo);
- Unwelcome conduct determined by a reasonable person to be <u>so severe, pervasive,</u> <u>and objectively offensive</u> that it effectively denies a person equal access to the institution's education program or activity; or
- 3. "Sexual assault," "dating violence," "domestic violence," or "stalking" as defined under Clery/VAWA.



Source: Title IX Regulations (2020)

5

5

#2 Element Examples

- "Severe": Physically threatening or humiliating; effects of the alleged conduct to a reasonable person (using a "reasonable person" standard)
- "Pervasive": Frequency, duration of the alleged conduct
- "Objectively offensive": To a reasonable person (using a "reasonable person" standard)
- "Reasonable person" standard: An <u>objective test</u> to denote a hypothetical person who exercises average care, skill, and judgment in conduct <u>under similar</u> <u>circumstances</u> as a comparative standard.
- "Effectively denies...equal access": Totality of the circumstances

For example, the degree of the alleged conduct's interference with the CP or effects in an educational setting, type of alleged conduct, frequency and duration of the conduct, knowingly unwelcome in nature

"Education program or activity" under Title IX

Includes <u>locations</u>, <u>events</u>, <u>or</u> <u>circumstances</u> over which the institution exercises **substantial control** over both the respondent and the context in which the alleged sexual harassment occurs, and also includes <u>any building owned or controlled by a student organization</u> that is officially recognized by the institution.

 Example of a "building owned or controlled by a student organization": Fraternity or sorority house that is occupied by students of the organization, and the student organization is a recognized organization with the institution.



Source: Title IX Regulations (2020)

7

7

Definition of "Other Inappropriate Sexual Conduct"

Conduct on the basis of sex that does not meet the definition of "sexual harassment" (under the Model Policy), but is prohibited inappropriate or unprofessional sexual conduct.

Such conduct is:

- 1. Verbal conduct (including through electronic means), unwanted statements of a sexual nature intentionally stated to a person or group of people, that are objectively offensive to a reasonable person and also so severe or pervasive that it created a Hostile Environment.
- Physical conduct that is objectively offensive to a reasonable person and also so <u>severe or</u> <u>pervasive</u> that it created a Hostile Environment.



Source:

UT System Model Policy for Sexual Misconduct (2022)

8

"Other Inappropriate Sexual Conduct" Cont.

Possible Examples (depending on facts):

- Unwelcome sexual advances (including explicit or implicit proposition(s) of sexual contact or activity);
- Requests for sexual favors (including overt or subtle pressure);
- Gratuitous comments about an individual's sexual activities or speculation about an individual's sexual experiences;
- Gratuitous comments, jokes, questions, anecdotes or remarks of a sexual nature about clothing or bodies;
- Persistent, unwanted sexual or romantic attention;
- Exposure to sexually suggestive visual displays such as photographs, graffiti, posters, calendars or other materials;
- Deliberate, repeated humiliation or intimidation;
- Sexual exploitation;
- Unwelcome intentional touching of a sexual nature;
- Deliberate physical interference with or restriction of movement; or
- Consensual sexual conduct that is unprofessional and inappropriate, and created a Hostile Environment.



Source:

UT System Model Policy for Sexual Misconduct (2022)

6

9

Serving Impartially in Your Role

- Must avoid prejudgment of the facts at issue
- Must avoid conflicts of interest
- Must avoid bias





Source: Title IX Regulations (2020)

11

11

Principles for Title IX Process



- Must maintain complete neutrality & impartiality at all times in investigating alleged conduct violations of institutional policies.
- Understanding bias & whether it exists: Need to take an "objective, common sense approach to evaluating whether a person serving in a role is biased." (Title IX Preamble (2020))...


THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM THIRTEEN INSTITUTIONS, UNLIMITED POSSIBILITIES.

12

Must **not** treat a party differently: On the basis of the person's sex; o On **stereotypes** about how men or women behave with respect to sexual violence; and/or **Avoiding** On the basis of the person's Bias characteristics: sex, race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender identity, disability, immigration status, financial ability, socioeconomic status, or other characteristic. Source: Title IX Preamble (2020) 13 THE UNIVERSITY of TEXAS SYSTEM

13





Written Notice of Formal Complaint

Upon receipt of a formal complaint, promptly send written notice to CP & RP:

- Notice of the grievance process under the policy;
- Notice of the allegations that <u>potentially constitute</u> prohibited conduct under the policy, sufficient details about the alleged conduct: Date(s), time(s), and location(s);
- A statement that the potential policy violations are being investigated;

Written Notice (Continued)

- A statement that the RP is <u>presumed not responsible</u> for the alleged conduct and that the determination regarding responsibility will be made at the conclusion of the grievance process;
- Both parties may have an <u>advisor of choice</u>, who may be, but is not required to be, an attorney, and may inspect and review all evidence;
- A statement that the parties may review evidence gathered as part of the any investigation;

17

17

Written Notice (Continued)

- A provision of the policy that knowingly making <u>false</u> <u>statements</u> or knowingly submitting <u>false information</u> during the grievance process is <u>prohibited</u> and subject to disciplinary action;
- Any other relevant information for the written notice; and
- A statement that <u>retaliation is prohibited</u> under the policy. (recommended)

Other <u>resources</u> to include with the written notice:

- Supportive measures available for both parties
- 2. Campus & local resources or services
- 3. Rights & options of both parties
- 4. A copy of the grievance process & policy
- Title IX Coordinator & Investigator(s) contact information





19

Party's Rights (Summary)

A **Complainant** and **Respondent** have the following rights during a grievance process:

- To be informed of and have access to counseling, medical, academic, and other applicable **support services**, including **confidential resources**.
- To be informed of the importance of a victim going to a hospital for treatment and the preservation of evidence, if applicable, as soon as practicable after an alleged incident.
- To be informed of a notice of formal complaint to the University, whether filed by a CP or the TIXC.
- To receive a prompt, fair, equitable, and impartial grievance process.
- To receive information and ask questions about the formal and informal processes.



Party's Rights (Cont.)

- To be given equal chance to participate in a grievance process, including the opportunity to identify witnesses and other relevant evidence.
- To choose <u>not</u> to actively participate in the grievance process, if desired.
- To have an advisor of choice present during all meetings and grievance proceedings.
- To have an advisor provided for a party at a hearing under the Title IX/SH grievance process, if an advisor of choice is not present.



21

21

Party's Rights (Cont.)

- To have access and equal opportunity to inspect and review any evidence obtained as part of the investigation, and to receive a copy of the completed investigation report.
- To be equally informed of any determinations regarding responsibility, dismissals of formal complaints, and/or a party's filing of an appeal.
- To appeal a <u>determination</u> regarding responsibility and/or <u>dismissals</u> of formal complaints.
- To file a report with local and/or campus law enforcement authorities



Complainant's Rights (related to the Grievance Process)


- To report an incident and/or file a formal complaint with the University.
- To request in writing that the University not investigate a reported incident and be informed of the University's decision whether or not to investigate.
- To **request** in writing a **dismissal** of a formal complaint (e.g. withdraws the formal complaint or any allegations therein).





23

23









Investigative Framework

- Establish facts & timeline(s).
- Understand each party's perception & experiences of the alleged incident(s).
- Elicit details & descriptions of the alleged incident(s) from the parties & witnesses.
- Address disputed facts or conflicting evidence (if any) & seek responses from the parties (if applicable).
- Gather sufficient information available for a determination of facts, importance, & relevance to the formal complaint.








Investigator Considerations

- One or two investigators?
- Roles of each investigator?
 - o Facilitator
 - Notetaker
- Interview notetaking or recording?

29

29



Look at the Provision(s) at Issue:

Engaging in a course of conduct directed at a specific person that would cause a reasonable person to fear for his or her safety or the safety of others or suffer substantial emotional distress.

For the purposes of this definition:

- Course of conduct means two or more acts, including, but not limited to, acts in which the stalker directly, indirectly, or through third parties, by any action, method, device, or means, follows, monitors, observes, surveils, threatens, or communicates to or about a person, or interferes with a person's property.
- Reasonable person means a reasonable person under similar circumstances and with similar identities to the victim.
- Substantial emotional distress means significant mental suffering or anguish that may, but does not necessarily, require medical or other professional treatment or counseling.



31

Look at the Provision(s) at Issue:



Engaging in a (1) course of conduct (2) directed at a specific person that would (3) cause a reasonable person to fear for his or her safety or the safety of others or suffer substantial emotional distress.

For the purposes of this definition:

- Course of conduct means two or more acts, including, but not limited to, acts in which the stalker directly, indirectly, or through third parties, by any action, method, device, or means, follows, monitors, observes, surveils, threatens, or communicates to or about a person, or interferes with a person's property.
- Reasonable person means a reasonable person under similar circumstances and with similar identities to the victim.
- Substantial emotional distress means significant mental suffering or anguish that may, but does not necessarily, require medical or other professional treatment or counseling.

Basis: Pattern Investigations



- Multiple incidents of similar type of alleged conduct or circumstances with same RP?
- Multiple complainants regarding same or multiple incidents of <u>similar</u> <u>type of alleged conduct</u> or circumstances with same RP?
- Multiple respondents regarding same incident or situation?
- Student organization allegations?



Note: It is the institution's decision on how a pattern-based investigation may be handled.

33

33

Considerations: Allegation Patterns



- Consolidate pattern allegations into one investigation?
- May be larger-scale in scope.
- Multiple incidents? May lend <u>credibility</u> to other allegations of <u>similar conduct</u> with same RP?
- Multiple complainants?
 Information/privacy waivers, participation?



Note: It is the institution's decision on how a pattern-based investigation may be handled.

34

Considerations: Allegation Patterns (Cont.)



- Balance focus on the individual and pattern elements of consolidated investigations:
 - Consider each allegation on <u>its own merits</u>, with the <u>relevant evidence</u> gathered for <u>each allegation</u>
 - Same grievance process applied
- Document the **justification** for <u>consolidating</u> the investigation, if applicable. Examples might include, but are not limited to:
 - Similarity of allegations
 - Overlapping witnesses
- Student-based allegation patterns: <u>Emergency removal</u> threshold met? (Individualized safety & risk analysis)



Note: It is the institution's decision on how a pattern-based investigation may be handled.

35

35

Basis: Climate Investigations



- Generalized concerns about the climate, environment, or policies in a program or department.
- No specific incidents or allegations with a program or department.
- Reputational concerns or exit interview statements regarding a program or department.



Note: It is the institution's decision on how a climate-based investigation may be handled.

36

Considerations: Climate Allegations





- May be **larger-scale** and more **open** in scope.
- Without specific RP's for a formal written notice, provide notice to the program director or department chair.
- Invite program or department affiliates as witness interviews, general prompts
- As evidence is collected & reviewed, triage & determine if the basis or scope needs to be updated.


Note: It is the institution's decision on how a climate-based investigation may be handled.

37

37







Advisor Considerations

- Is an advisor of choice involved?
- Access to the related evidence & the finalized investigation report (closing steps of the investigation).
- Consider providing an advisor, if an advisor of choice has not been involved.



Тн

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM THIRTEEN INSTITUTIONS, UNLIMITED POSSIBILITIES.

41

Evidence Collection


- Available body of facts or information:
 - 1. Tangible objects (real items)
 - 2. Demonstrative (modeling)
 - 3. Documentary (written materials)
 - 4. Testimonial (statements)

...that indicates whether a claim, belief, or proposition may be true or valid.




THE UNIVERSITY of TEXAS SYSTEM THIRTEEN INSTITUTIONS, UNLIMITED POSSIBILITIES





Medical Records Evidence



<u>Cannot</u> access, consider, disclose, or use a party's **medical** or **mental health records** as evidence unless the party provides <u>voluntary</u>, <u>written</u> <u>consent</u> to the institution for the grievance process.

45

45


HIPAA vs. FERPA

The **medical or mental health record** is protected by **HIPAA**, and retained by the healthcare enterprise.

A copy of a medical or mental health record that is submitted to the investigation by the record holder (with <u>voluntary</u>, <u>written consent</u>) becomes protected under **FERPA** (students) and **privacy** provisions of the institution's policies. The copy is eligible for **access to evidence** by both parties (& their advisors).



46





Access to Evidence: When?

- Upon request from a CP, RP, or an Advisor?
- At the end of the investigation?





49

Send each party (& the advisors*) the applicable evidence:

- In hard copy or electronic format
- At least 10 days to inspect, review, & respond to the evidence
- All responses to the evidence must be submitted in writing to the investigator(s)

* Advisor of choice; or the provided advisor by the institution (If applicable)



Access to


Evidence


(Cont.)


50














"Reasonable Person" Standard




Use a "reasonable person" standard for weighing all of the evidence:


 An <u>objective test</u> to denote a hypothetical person who exercises average care, skill, and judgment in conduct <u>under similar</u> <u>circumstances</u> as a comparative standard.

57

57







Draft vs. Completed Investigation Report

FAQ's:

- When should the investigation report be released to the parties (and the party's advisors)?
- Can the institution provide a draft investigation report first?



61

Completed Investigation Report: **Sexual Harassment Cases**


- 1. Outline each of the **allegations** that potentially constitutes prohibited conduct under the Policy (required by the Title IX regulations).
- 2. Provide a **timeline** (e.g. procedural steps) of the investigation. (recommended)
- **3. Fairly summarize** <u>relevant evidence</u>, participate statements, and responses to questions (required by the Title IX regulations).
 - Exculpatory: Evidence tending to excuse, justify, or absolve the person of the alleged conduct.
 - Inculpatory: Evidence that places responsibility on the person of the alleged conduct.





- Overview (Summary) of the Investigation
- Institutional Jurisdiction
- Relevant Policies
- Investigators
- Witnesses
- Procedural Next Steps

63



Access to the Completed Investigation Report

Send each party (& the advisors*) the completed investigation report:

- At least 10 days prior to the scheduled hearing
 - Why? Opportunity for the parties to inspect, review, & respond to the investigation report (at the hearing)
- A copy of the investigation report to the TIXC and hearing officer assigned.

* Advisor of choice; or the provided advisor by the institution (If applicable)



65

65

Investigation Timeframe (Example)

The investigation of a formal complaint will be concluded within <u>90 days</u> of the filing of a formal complaint

Note: Circumstances may require a **temporary delay** in this timeframe & the institution may <u>extend</u> this timeframe for <u>good cause</u>.


• Provide notice to the parties for temporary delays or extensions




Source:


UT System Model Policy for Sexual Misconduct


66













Contact Information

Krista Anderson	Sean Flammer
Systemwide Title IX Coordinator	Assistant General Counsel
Office of Systemwide Compliance UT System (Austin, TX)	Office of General Counsel UT System (Austin, TX)
Phone: 512-664-9050	Phone: 512-579-5106
Email: kranderson@utsystem.edu	Email: sflammer@utsystem.edu



73